QUEENS' SCHOOL

Dare to be Great

Malpractice Policy

(Exams)

Malpractice Policy (Exams)

Centre name	QUEENS' SCHOOL
Centre number	17611
Date policy first created	27/10/2026
Current policy approved by	Mrs Jo Day
Current policy reviewed by	Mrs Jo Day
Date of review	27/10/2026
Date of next review	27/10/2027

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	**Name**
Head of centre	Mr Jonathan Morell
Senior leader(s)	Mrs Jo Day
Exams Officer	Mrs Sue Gorton
Other staff (if applicable)	Miss Sarah Vaughan

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any malpractice at QUEENS' SCHOOL is managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations and reflects centre practice.

Reference in the policy to **GR** and **SMPP** relate to relevant sections of the current JCQ documents **General Regulations for Approved Centres** and **Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures**.

Introduction

What are malpractice and maladministration?

'Malpractice' and 'maladministration' are distinct but related concepts, the common theme being that they involve a failure to follow the rules of an examination or assessment. This policy and procedure uses the word 'malpractice' to cover both 'malpractice' and 'maladministration' and it means any act, default or practice which is:

- a breach of the Regulations, and/or
- a breach of awarding organisation requirements regarding how a qualification should be delivered, and/or
- a failure to follow established procedures in relation to a qualification

which:

- gives rise to prejudice to candidates, and/or
- compromises public confidence in qualifications, and/or

- compromises, attempts to compromise or may compromise the process of assessment, the integrity of any qualification or the validity of a result or certificate, and/or
- damages the authority, reputation or credibility of any awarding organisation or centre or any officer, employee or agent of any awarding organisation or centre (SMPP 1)

Candidate malpractice

'Candidate malpractice' normally involves malpractice by a candidate in connection with any examination or assessment, including the preparation and authentication of any controlled assessments, coursework or nonexamination assessments, the presentation of any practical work, the compilation of portfolios of assessment evidence and the completion of any examination. (SMPP 2)

Centre staff malpractice

'Centre staff malpractice' means malpractice committed by:

- a member of staff, contractor (whether employed under a contract of employment or a contract for services) or a volunteer at a centre, or
- an individual appointed in another capacity by a centre, such as an invigilator, a Communication Professional, a Language Modifier, a practical assistant, a prompter, a reader or a scribe (SMPP 2)

Centre malpractice

'Centre malpractice' normally involves malpractice where there is an element of systemic failure, a breach in policies or widespread malpractice such that a centre-level sanction is appropriate (SMPP 2)

Suspected malpractice

For the purposes of this document, suspected malpractice means all alleged or suspected incidents of malpractice (regardless of how the incident might be categorised, as described in SMPP, section 1.9). (SMPP 2)

Purpose of the policy

To confirm QUEENS' SCHOOL:

- has a written malpractice policy in place for inspection that will be reviewed and updated annually, which covers all qualifications delivered by the centre with reference to the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice Policies and Procedures*

  The policy **must** detail how candidates are informed and advised to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments, how suspected malpractice issues should be escalated within the centre and reported to the relevant awarding body. It **must** also acknowledge the use of AI (e.g. what AI is, when it may be used and how it should be acknowledged, the risks of using AI, what AI misuse is and how this will be treated as malpractice). (GR 5.3)

General principles

In accordance with the regulations QUEENS' SCHOOL will:

- take all reasonable steps to prevent the occurrence of any malpractice (which includes maladministration) before, during and after assessments have taken place (GR 5.11)
- inform the awarding body **immediately** of any alleged, suspected or actual incidents of malpractice or maladministration involving a candidate or a member of staff, by completing the appropriate documentation (GR 5.11)
- as required by an awarding body, gather evidence of any instances of alleged or suspected malpractice (which includes maladministration) in accordance with the current JCQ document **Suspected Malpractice - Policies and Procedures** and provide such information and advice as the awarding body may reasonably require (GR 5.11)

Preventing malpractice

QUEENS' SCHOOL has in place:

- robust processes to prevent and identify malpractice, as outlined in section 3 of the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures* (SMPP 4.3)
- this includes ensuring that staff involved in the delivery of assessments and examinations understand the requirements for conducting these as specified in the following current JCQ documents and any further awarding body guidance:
- *General Regulations for Approved Centres*
- *Instructions for conducting examinations (ICE)*
- *Instructions for conducting coursework*
- *Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments*
- *Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments*
- *A guide to the special consideration process*
- *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures*
- *Plagiarism in Assessments*
- *AI Use in Assessments: Your role in protecting the integrity of qualifications*
- *Post Results Services*
- *A guide to the awarding organisations' appeals processes*
- *Guidance for centres on cyber security* (SMPP 3.2)

Additional information:

JCQ Documentation is issued to all staff including Heads of Department and SLT in each new academic year.

JCQ Documents are published on the school website in each new academic year.

Informing and advising candidates how to avoid committing malpractice in examinations/assessments

Here at Queens' school students in Year 10 to Year 13 are sent the JCQ Information for candidate documents by school email within 8 weeks of the beginning of each school year. However, relevant subject teachers reinforce the rules before coursework and NEA begins, and the Exams Officer coordinates reminders before written examinations. Candidates also attend assemblies covering JCQ regulations and raising awareness of the unauthorised use of AI in examinations.

AI use in assessments (and preventing AI misuse)

Queens' School recognises that artificial intelligence (AI) tools can support teaching and learning but that their misuse in formal assessments may constitute malpractice.

Candidates are informed that any work submitted for assessment must be their own. Where AI use is permitted by the relevant awarding organisation and assessment requirements, candidates must acknowledge its use appropriately and must not present AI-generated material as their own independent work.

AI misuse includes submitting AI-generated content as original work without appropriate acknowledgement, or using AI in a way that breaches assessment conditions.

Candidates attend a dedicated Exam Assembly, where guidance is provided on the appropriate use of AI in formal assessments.

Candidates receive the relevant JCQ Information for Candidates guidance, including AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments), before undertaking applicable assessments.

Suspected AI misuse will be investigated and managed in accordance with this Malpractice Policy, the school's AI Policy and current JCQ regulations.

Prior to beginning their work, candidates are issued with the JCQ **Information for candidates** documents: **AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)**, (as applicable to any of their qualifications) **Coursework assessments**, **Non-examination assessments** and **Social media** (GR 5.8)

Relevant subject staff ensure that all candidates have read the **Notice to candidates** within the relevant awarding body's **Candidate declaration form** (Authentication form) prior to beginning their work.

Candidates will not sign the declaration until they have completed their work and handed it in for final assessment.

Identification and reporting of malpractice

All staff at QUEENS' SCHOOL have a responsibility for immediately reporting any potential malpractice that they may identify.

Escalating suspected malpractice issues

Once suspected malpractice is identified, any member of staff at the centre can report it using the appropriate channels. (SMPP 4.3)

Suspected malpractice should be reported immediately to the Exams Officer or Assistant Headteacher responsible for examinations, who will escalate the matter to the Head of Centre. The Head of Centre will ensure that the appropriate awarding organisation is notified in accordance with JCQ requirements and that any subsequent investigation is conducted by an appropriate, impartial person, following the awarding organisation's instructions.

Reporting suspected malpractice to the awarding organisation

- The head of centre will notify the appropriate awarding organisation immediately of all* alleged, suspected or actual incidents of (staff, centre and candidate) malpractice, using the appropriate forms, and will conduct any investigation and gathering of information in accordance with the requirements of the JCQ document *Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures* (SMPP 4.1)

  (*The only exception to this is candidate malpractice discovered in coursework or non-examination assessments where the offence relates to the content of candidate work (e.g. copying/collusion, plagiarism and/or AI misuse and the authentication forms have not been signed by the candidate)

- The head of centre will ensure that, where a candidate is a child or an adult at risk and is the subject of a malpractice investigation, the candidate's parent/carer/ appropriate adult is kept informed of the progress of the investigation (SMPP 4.1)

- Form JCQ/M1 will be used to notify an awarding organisation of an incident of suspected candidate malpractice. Form JCQ/M2 will be used (**before** an investigation commences) to notify an awarding organisation of an incident of suspected staff malpractice/maladministration (SMPP 4.4, 4.6)

- Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication, do not need to be reported to the awarding organisation.

  Instead, they will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.

  Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate **has** signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported using a JCQ M1 to the relevant awarding organisation. If, at the time of the malpractice, there is no entry for that candidate (who the centre intended to enter), the centre is required to submit an entry by the required entry deadline. (SMPP 4.5)

- If, in the view of the information gatherer, there is sufficient evidence that an individual may have committed malpractice, that individual (the candidate or the member of staff) will be informed of all the required information and informed of their

rights and responsibilities as the accused individual, prior to the submission of the report to the awarding organisation (SMPP 5.35-36, 40)

- Where it is identified that there is a need to exercise discretion in the light of the circumstances of the case in terms of how the evidence is presented to the accused individual (for example, if there are concerns about revealing the identity of a third party mentioned in the documentation), this will be discussed with the awarding organisation.

  If the accused individual is provided with a reduced or redacted set of case documentation by the information gatherer, then the awarding organisation will be provided with the same information as provided to the individual. Where there are multiple individuals involved in the same incident, copies of the redacted documents for each individual will be provided to the awarding organisation. (SMPP 5.36)

- Once the information gathering has concluded, the head of centre (or other appointed information gatherer) will submit a written report to the relevant awarding organisation summarising the information obtained and actions taken, accompanied by the information obtained during the course of their enquiries (SMPP 5.37)

- Form JCQ/M1 will be used when reporting candidate cases; for centre staff, form JCQ/M3 will be used (SMPP 5.39)

- The awarding organisation will decide on the basis of the report, and any supporting documentation, whether there is evidence of malpractice and if any further investigation is required. The head of centre will be informed accordingly. (SMPP 5.42)

Additional information:

Not Applicable

Communicating malpractice decisions

Once a decision has been made by the awarding organisation, it will be communicated in writing to the head of centre as soon as possible.

The head of centre will communicate the decision to the individuals concerned and pass on details of any sanctions and action in cases where this is indicated. The head of centre will also inform the individuals if they have the right to appeal. (SMPP 11.1) Additional information:

Not applicable

Appeals against decisions made in cases of malpractice QUEENS' SCHOOL will:

- Provide the individual with information on the process and timeframe for submitting an appeal, where relevant

- Refer to further information and follow the process provided in the JCQ document **A guide to the awarding organisations' appeals processes**

Additional information: Not applicable

Changes effective from September 2026

(Updated)

- Wording updated under heading **Purpose of the policy**
- JCQ documents updated under heading **Preventing malpractice**
- Wording in certain bullet points updated under various headings
- Insert prompts updated in various *Additional information* sections
- Updated various SMPP section references
- References to awarding 'body' in the SMPP document updated to 'organisation'

(Amended)

- Heading **AI use in assessments** amended to **AI use in assessments (and preventing AI misuse)**
- Bullet point referring to *Information for candidates* documents amended

(Added)

- New insert sections added under various headings
- New bullet point added under heading **AI use in**... referring to the *Candidate declaration form*
- Under heading **Identification and reporting of**... added a sentence with reference to all staff responsibility

Centre-specific changes

- Added Queens' School procedures for reporting and escalating suspected malpractice, including the responsibilities of the Exams Officer, Assistant Headteacher and Head of Centre.
- Clarified arrangements for distributing JCQ information and guidance to candidates and staff.
- Added reference to the school's separate AI Policy, including the prevention, identification and reporting of AI misuse in assessments.
- Clarified internal procedures for managing suspected malpractice identified before candidate authentication.